


Form

Referral of a proposal under s. 38 of the EP Act

PART A: PROPONENT AND REFERRER INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION			
Referrer information			
Who is referring this proposal?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proponent <input type="checkbox"/> Decision-making authority <input type="checkbox"/> Community member/third party	
Name Andres Maasing		Signature 	
Position	Chief Development Officer	Organisation	Marri WF Pty Ltd (ACN 684 707 551) as trustee for the Marri WF Unit Trust (ABN
Email	Andres.Maasing@alintaenergy.com.au	Phone	0447 290 554
Address	Level 18 Raine Square,	Murray Street	
	Perth	WA	6000
Date	30/10/2025		
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part of the proposal information in the referral as confidential? <i>Provide confidential information in a separate attachment.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Does the referrer confirm that they consent to receive correspondence electronically?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Referral declaration for proponent and Authorised representative: 1.1.1 I, Andres Maasing declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of Marri WF Pty Ltd as trustee for the Marri WF Unit Trust and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading. Date: 30/10/2025			
Proponent information			
Name of the proponent/s <i>Include Trading Name if relevant</i>		Marri WF Pty Ltd as trustee for the Marri WF Unit Trust.	
Australian Company Number(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	684 707 551	
OR			
Australian Business Number(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	78 658 559 049	

Pre-referral discussions	
<p>Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA (including the EPA Services of DWER)?</p> <p><i>If so, provide name, date, and overview of discussions.</i></p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No </p> <p>30th January 2025; 10th February 2025; 8th September 2025 and 15 October 2025 with DWER and EPA.</p> <p>Overview of Proposal, Carnaby’s Black Cockatoo habitat and species threats, Projected noise emissions and sensitive receivers, EPBC referral.</p>
Proposal information	
<p>Proposal name</p>	<p>Marri Wind Farm</p>
<p>What is the proposal? (Include general description in the Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal)</p>	<p>The Marri Wind Farm is a renewable energy generation project located in the Shire of Dandaragan approximately 110 km north of Perth in Western Australia.</p> <p>The Proposal includes infrastructure capable of generating and delivering up to a maximum of 550 MW of renewable energy from turbines able to generate up to 2,000 GWh annually, or 10% of the current SWIS demand. The engineered design includes up to a maximum of 82 turbines with a maximum tip height of 275 m. These are to be positioned within the 12,473.12 hectare (ha) Proposed Development Envelope.</p> <p>A potential future battery energy storage system (BESS) with capacity up to 6,600 MWh is also included within this Proposal.</p> <p>Additional supporting infrastructure incorporates internal roads, hardstands, laydown areas, substations, cables, and a transmission line connecting to the adjacent 330 kV transmission line that forms part of the SWIS. This connection will enable the Proposal to benefit from the Clean Energy Link North infrastructure upgrade.</p>
<p>Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps, and figures in the appropriate format?</p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No </p>
<p>What type of proposal is being referred?</p> <p><i>For significant amendment or derived proposal, provide the associated existing Ministerial statement number/s</i></p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> significant proposal. <i>Choose which type of significant proposal</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> new proposal <input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment (proposal only) <input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment (conditions only) <input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment (proposal and conditions) <input type="checkbox"/> strategic proposal <input type="checkbox"/> derived proposal <input type="checkbox"/> proposals of a prescribed class </p>

<i>For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the scheme number and name</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> proposal under an assessed planning scheme
Proposal content: Complete the corresponding template (<i>Proposal Content Document</i>) from the Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal for the type of proposal identified above. The completed form must be submitted with the referral.	
Alternatives	Refer to Section 1.4.8 (page 18) of the Supporting Environmental Referral Document.

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Environmental factors

What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?	<input type="checkbox"/> Benthic Communities and Habitat <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Processes <input type="checkbox"/> Marine Environmental Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Marine Fauna <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flora and Vegetation <input type="checkbox"/> Landforms <input type="checkbox"/> Subterranean Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Environmental Quality <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Inland Waters <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social Surroundings <input type="checkbox"/> Human Health
--	---

*For **each** of the environmental factors identified above, complete the following table, or provide the information in a supplementary report*

Potential environmental impacts – for each environmental factor

1	EPA policy and guidance	Refer to Section 2.1.1 to 2.1.3 (page 34); Section 4 (page 70); and Section 5 (72) of the Supporting Environmental Referral Document.
2	Receiving environment	Refer to Section 1.5 (page 21-33) and Section 8.5 (page 148) of the Supporting Environmental Referral Document.
3	Likely environmental impacts	Refer to Section 6.6 (page 95); Section 7.5 (page 117) Section 8.6 (page 154) and Section 11.3 (page 197) of the Supporting Environmental Referral Document.
4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy, including other statutory decision-making processes	Refer to Section 6.7 (page 96); 6.8 (97); Section 7.6 (page 117); 7.7 (page 119) and Section 8.7 (page 160); 8.8 (page 167) of the Supporting Environmental Referral Document.

5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts	<p>Refer to Section 6.8 (page 97); Section 7.7 (page 119); Section 8.8 (page 167); Section 10.3 (page 180); Section 11.5 (page 201) and Section 11.6 (page 208).</p> <p>Conclusion of assessment and significance are summarised in Section 12 (page 209) and Section 13 (214).</p>
6	Likely environmental outcomes	<p>Proposal does not contribute significantly to the cumulative loss of native vegetation and associated habitat of the listed threatened and conservation significant species.</p> <p>The application of the hierarchy of risk mitigation and the implementation of management plans (CEMP, BBMP, TMP) will ensure that the Proposal impacts are avoided, minimised and appropriately managed through the life of the Proposal.</p>
Holistic impact assessment		
<p>Refer to Section 12 (page 209).</p> <p>A summary of the holistic impacts associated with the preliminary key environmental factors during the Proposals construction, then operational and maintenance phases is presented in Table 12-1 (page 233).</p> <p>Overall, it is considered that implementation of the proposed mitigation and management measures should ensure the minimisation of residual impacts associated with the Proposal.</p> <p>Through the committed application of mitigation measures, it is possible that the EPA's environmental factor objectives, can be met by this Proposal.</p>		
Cumulative environmental impact assessment		
<p>Refer to Section 13 (page 214); and Table 13-1 (page 236).</p> <p>The Proposal will result in the clearing of up to 84 ha of native vegetation across the Proposed Development Envelope, the majority of which is considered to be in very good or worse condition. With reference to the areas of known and proposed impacts associated with other projects in the cumulative impact assessment area (Table 13-1), the Proposal does not contribute significantly to the cumulative loss of native vegetation and associated habitat of the listed threatened and conservation significant species.</p> <p>The application of the hierarchy of risk mitigation and the implementation of management plans (CEMP, BBMP, TMP) will ensure that the Proposal impacts are avoided, minimised and appropriately managed through the life of the Proposal.</p>		

Consultation	
<p>Refer to Section 8 (page 221); Table 3-6 Consultation Register (page 72).</p> <p>Alinta Energy has adopted a phased approach to engagement to ensure the right stakeholders are engaged at the right time. Recognising the number of renewable energy projects in the region, Alinta Energy has sought to minimise engagement fatigue by prioritising early engagement with those most directly impacted, addressing their concerns and building understanding before broadening discussions to other stakeholders and the wider community. This approach ensures engagement activities are purposeful, informed, and aligned with key planning and environmental assessment milestones. Alinta Energy has also worked with stakeholders to understand their preferred methods of engagement. Throughout engagement, Alinta Energy has also provided regular updates on the Proposal through its Community Newsletter and the Proposal website.</p>	
Supporting documents	
<p>Appendix A - Electromagnetic Interference Assessment</p> <p>Appendix B - Aboriginal and Historical Cultural Heritage Due Diligence Assessment</p> <p>Appendix C - Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</p> <p>Appendix D - Noise Assessment</p> <p>Appendix E - Shadow Flicker Assessment</p> <p>Appendix F - Traffic Impact Assessment Statement</p> <p>Appendix G - Traffic Route Assessment</p> <p>Appendix H - Water Resources Impact Assessment</p> <p>Appendix I - Hydrology Study</p> <p>Appendix J - Bushfire Planning Advice</p> <p>Appendix K - Fatal Flaws Desktop Assessment and Reconnaissance Survey</p> <p>Appendix L - Targeted Flora and Vegetation Survey (Wind Farm)</p> <p>Appendix M - Targeted Flora and Vegetation Survey (Wind Farm and Transmission)</p> <p>Appendix N - Additional Vegetation Mapping (Wind Farm and Transmission)</p> <p>Appendix O - Basic and Targeted Terrestrial Fauna Survey</p> <p>Appendix P - Targeted Black Cockatoo Survey</p> <p>Appendix Q - Bird and Bat Management Plan</p> <p>Appendix R - Social Impact Assessment</p> <p>Appendix S - Stakeholder Engagement and Communication Plan (SECP)</p>	
<p>Has the referrer provided survey information according to the Instructions and Form: IBSA Data Packages and/or the Instructions and form: IMSA Data Packages</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>

Conclusion
This Proposal is not expected to have a significant impact on the receiving environment, due to the prioritisation of pre-disturbed land for development purposes. All potential impacts have been minimised as far as practical to support regulatory requirements and other external expectations beyond the Proponents control.

PART C: OTHER APPROVALS AND REGULATION	
Decision-making authorities and their approvals	
Provide a table list of the decision-making authorities, associated legislation or agreement regulating the activity and the specific approval required. (Example table at the end of form)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) and EPA (EP Act <i>Part IV (Divisions 1 and 2)</i>, referral under Section 38. • Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) <i>Planning and Development Act 2005</i> for a development approval. • Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i>) Assessment of a Proposed Action.
Provide a summary of the statutory decision-making processes you consider can mitigate the potential impacts of the proposal on the environment. (Note: this should be a summary of the information provided in Part B section 2.4). (Example table at the end of form)	EPA Mitigation Hierarchy to avoid, minimise, rehabilitate and offset, with findings within the supporting ERD brought across into traffic management plan, construction environmental management plan and other critical proposal documentation.
Tenure and Local Government approvals	
Location of proposal: a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or b) if remote, the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	located approximately 20 kilometres (km) south of the township of Dandaragan
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of Dandaragan
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	Private land under agricultural uses
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Proponent has valid land access agreements with all landholders, which are incorporated into the development application and

<p><i>If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.</i></p> <p><i>If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?</i></p>	<p>summarised in Section 1.5.1 (page 53) and Section 1.4.3 (page 8).</p>
<p>Commonwealth Government approvals</p>	
<p>Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Refer to section 11 of the Supporting Environmental Referral Document.</p>
<p>Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Date: Referral planned November 2025</p> <p>EPBC No.: _____</p>
<p>If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decision – controlled action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decision – not a controlled action</p>
<p>If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an accredited assessment?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes - Bilateral <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Accredited</p>
<p>Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? <i>If yes, describe.</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Approval:</p>
<p>Decision-making authority referrals <u>ONLY</u></p>	
<p>What approval/s, under your authority, are required for this proposal? <i>Please provide details.</i></p>	

Other approvals

Decision-making authority	Legislation or Agreement regulating the activity	Approval required (and specify which proposal element the approval is related to)
Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW)	<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth)</i>	Non-controlled action under the EPBC Act for clearing of 0.170 ha of Banksia Woodland of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC, which contains no old, active or potential nesting or breeding trees for the Carnabys Black Cockatoo. The area does have some potential as potential forage and offsets are proposed.
Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH)	<i>Planning and Development Act 2005 (WA) (PD Act) and Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015</i>	Approval of the development application and updates to tenure.

Other statutory decision-making process which can mitigate potential impacts on the environment

Environmental impact	How is the impact regulated by other decision-making process(es)?	Limit(s) of the decision-making process(es) to regulate the impact eg time limits, excluded operations	Likely environmental outcome of decision-making process(es), and consistency with EPA objective	Conditions, enforcement, and review process required by decision-making process(es)	Stakeholder engagement in decision-making process(es)
Clearing of 0.170 ha Banksia Woodland of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC of which is also potential Carnaby Black Cockatoo forage area	Referral prior to on ground works commencing, with minister deciding if the EPBC referral is a controlled action (or non-controlled action).	Assessment timeframes can be difficult to maintain over the Christmas holiday and into the new year. However sufficient information is provided with additional field monitoring continuing to ensure the extent of the site used by Carnabys Black Cockatoo is well defined.	Providing all mitigation measures and monitoring programs are completed prior to on ground activities, a non-controlled action for this Proposal should still meet the environmental outcomes for the environmental Factors Flora and Vegetation; Terrestrial Fauna.	<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth)</i> Assessment decision to be made by the Federal Minister for the Environment, if the Proposal will have a significant impact under the EPBC impact guidelines on Matters of National Environment Significance.	Open to public comment via the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water website.