

Environmental Scoping Document

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

1.1 Indicative timing of the environmental review

1.2 Commonwealth Government approvals

2. Form and content (required work)

2.1 Preliminary environmental factors

2.2 Specific work required for assessment of proposal

2.3 Cumulative impact assessment

2.4 Holistic impact assessment

2.5 Offsets

2.6 Significant amendment requirements

3. Decision-making authorities

Appendix 1 – Policy and Guidance

Tables

Table 1 General proposal and proponent information

Table 2 Indicative timeline

Table 3 Proposal specific required work

Table 4 Decision making authorities and processes

Table 5 Other statutory decision-making process which can mitigate potential impacts on the environment

1. Introduction

The Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) has determined that the Newmont Boddington Mine – Life of Mine Extension Amendment proposal is to be assessed under Part IV of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act).

The purpose of this Environmental Scoping Document (ESD) is to define the form, content, indicative timing and procedure of the environmental review, required by s. 40(3) of the EP Act.

This ESD has been prepared by the EPA in consultation with the proponent, decision-making authorities and interested agencies consistent with the EPA's [Procedures Manual](#).

The EPA requires the proponent to undertake the environmental review according to the procedures in the EPA's [Administrative Procedures](#) and [Procedures Manual](#), and the [Instructions and Template: How to prepare an Environmental Review Document](#). As the Proposal is a significant amendment to Ministerial Statement 971 (MS 971), the environmental review is required to consider the combined effects of the existing and proposed operations as well as the potential for inquiry into existing conditions (refer section 3.2 of the [Procedures Manual](#)).

This ESD has not been released for public review. The ESD will be available on the EPA website (www.epa.wa.gov.au) upon endorsement and must be appended to the environmental review document (ERD). The ERD is to be published for public review for a period of eight (8) weeks.

The Proponent must undertake a review of the ERD to ensure the requirements of the relevant EPA instructions, templates and guidance have been met. The ERD will include a scoping checklist that identifies the section(s) and page number of the ERD indicating where both all the dot points in the scoping checklist on page 5 of the ERD Template (2021) and the requirements of this ESD can be found.

Table 1: General proposal and proponent information.

Proposal information	
Proposal name	Newmont Boddington Mine – Life of Mine Extension Amendment
Proponent	Newmont Boddington Gold Pty Ltd
Location	Approximately 12 km northwest of Boddington and 130 km southeast of Perth
Assessment number	2507
Application Number	APP-0029581 (significant amendment to existing MS 971 under s. 40AA of the EP Act)
Local Government area	Shire of Boddington
Public review period	Environmental Review Document – 8 weeks

1.1 Indicative timing of the environmental review

Table 2 sets out the indicative outline of the timing of the environmental review (indicative timeline) agreed between the EPA and the proponent.

Table 2: Indicative timeline.

Key assessment milestones	
EPA approves Environmental Scoping Document	October 2025
Proponent submits first draft Environmental Review Document	July 2026
EPA provides comment on first draft Environmental Review Document <i>(6 weeks from receipt of ERD)</i>	September 2026
Proponent submits revised draft Environmental Review Document	October 2026
EPA authorises release of Environmental Review Document for public review <i>(2 weeks from EPA approval of ERD)</i>	October 2026
Proponent releases Environmental Review Document for public review for 8 weeks	November 2026
Close of public review period	December 2026
EPA provides Summary of Submissions <i>(3 weeks from close of public review period)</i>	January 2027
Proponent provides Response to Submissions	April 2027
EPA reviews the Response to Submissions <i>(4 weeks from receipt of Response to Submissions)</i>	May 2027
EPA prepares draft assessment report and completes assessment	August 2027
EPA finalises Assessment report (including two-week consultation on draft conditions) and gives report to Minister <i>(6 weeks from completion of assessment)</i>	September 2027

1.2 Commonwealth Government approvals

The Proposal may be a controlled action under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). The proposal will not be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement between the Commonwealth and the State under section 45 of the EPBC Act or as part of an accredited process under section 87 of the EPBC Act.

2. Form and content (required work)

The EPA requires that the form and content of the ERD is in accordance with the [Instructions and Template: How to prepare an Environmental Review Document](#). The work required to inform the ERD should also be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the most recent EPA Environmental Factor Guidelines and Technical Guidance at the time the ERD is published.

The proponent shall ensure all information as required by EPA guidelines and guidance is provided in the ERD and that the content in the main document aligns with the information in the attached appendices, or provide justification why this is not the case. Where multiple surveys or investigations are being relied upon for a certain environmental aspect, a consolidated summary of the findings must be provided with any inconsistencies addressed.

Any investigation, study or survey limitations need to be discussed in the ERD, along with the methodology as to how any gaps in information have been addressed. Any novel approaches need to be agreed to prior to submission and supported with an independent peer review to demonstrate they are fit-for-purpose.

The proponent is required to follow and consider relevant recovery plans, conservation advice and/or threat abatement plans for conservation significant species, communities, habitat (supporting, significant, and critical), and ecosystems that are known to occur, or are likely to occur in the vicinity of the proposal area. Any deviations must be justified.

2.1 Preliminary key environmental factors

The preliminary key environmental factors to be addressed in the ERD are:

1. Flora and Vegetation
2. Terrestrial Fauna
3. Inland Waters
4. Social Surroundings
5. Greenhouse Gas Emissions

2.2 Specific work required for assessment of proposal

The [Instructions and Template: How to prepare an Environmental Review Document](#) and the EPA's Environmental Factor Guidelines and Technical Guidance set out the standard requirements for the ERD. It is the EPA's expectation that these requirements will be met for each preliminary key environmental factor with particular emphasis placed on the following proposal specific matters outlined in Table 3.

Table 3: Proposal specific required work.

Flora and Vegetation	
Required work	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In accordance with EPA guidance, conduct surveys to identify and characterise the flora and vegetation of the development envelope in a local and regional context. This includes identifying and describing significant flora and vegetation as defined in EPA guidance as well as identifying and describing trends in vegetation condition/stressors in the region.

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Provide a map of the survey effort applied including for significant flora and vegetation. 3. Provide maps showing recorded vegetation types and condition. 4. Provide maps showing the recorded locations of significant flora and vegetation in relation to both the proposal and the wider distribution of each significant flora species and vegetation community. 5. Describe and quantify the extent of potential direct, indirect and cumulative impacts, including percentages, to all vegetation and significant flora and vegetation that may occur following implementation of the proposal during both construction and operations, in a local and regional context. Provide tables with quantitative assessments of impact: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) For significant flora, this includes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. number of individuals and populations in a local and regional context ii. numbers and proportions of individuals and populations directly or potentially indirectly impacted (including for the proposal, existing MS 971 and other proposals in the region), and iii. numbers/proportions/populations currently protected within the conservation estate (where known). b) For all vegetation units (noting threatened and priority ecological communities and significant vegetation) this includes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. area (in hectares) and proportions directly or potentially indirectly impacted (including for the proposal, existing MS 971 and other proposals in the region), and ii. proportions/hectares of the vegetation unit currently protected within conservation estate (where known). 6. Describe and evaluate the performance of existing management actions to mitigate against impacts to flora and vegetation (e.g. from weeds, dieback, pests and hydrological/hydrogeological changes) and recommend future management approaches that consider previously effective management actions and how the approaches work alongside management being undertaken by others in the region, including the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA). 7. Describe and evaluate the performance of existing rehabilitation activities and recommend future rehabilitation approaches to improve outcomes. Discuss and assess closure activities and outcomes. 8. Predict the residual impacts from the proposal on flora and vegetation after considering and applying the mitigation hierarchy and propose offsets for significant residual impacts.
--	---

	<p>9. Evaluate the success of existing approved offsets under MS 971 to counterbalance significant residual impacts to flora and vegetation.</p>
<p>Terrestrial Fauna</p>	
<p>Required work</p>	<p>10. In accordance with EPA guidance, conduct desktop and field surveys to identify and characterise the terrestrial fauna assemblage (vertebrate and short-range endemic (SRE) invertebrate fauna) of the development envelope in a local and regional context. This includes identifying, describing and undertaking targeted surveys for significant fauna and significant fauna habitat as defined in EPA guidance and identifying and describing trends in fauna assemblages of the area.</p> <p>11. Provide a map of the survey effort applied including for significant fauna and fauna habitat.</p> <p>12. Provide maps showing the extent of terrestrial fauna habitats in relation to the proposal and any key ecological corridors.</p> <p>13. Map and describe the locations of significant/restricted fauna records and significant fauna habitat including in relation to movement corridors/linkages, the study area, the development envelope, and wider species distributions.</p> <p>14. Characterise the likely use and importance of habitat within the development envelope for each significant/restricted fauna species. For black cockatoos, habitat quality assessment should be in accordance with the foraging quality scoring tool published by DCCEE. Alternative methods will require justification.</p> <p>15. Describe and quantify the extent of potential direct, indirect and cumulative impacts, including percentages, to habitat types, movement corridors/linkages, significant species and significant habitats that may occur following implementation of the proposal during both construction and operations, in a local and regional context. This includes impacts from the proposal, existing MS 971 and other proposals in the region.</p> <p>16. Describe and evaluate the performance of existing management actions to mitigate against impacts to terrestrial fauna (e.g. from habitat loss and fragmentation, displacement, predation, collisions etc.) and recommend future management approaches that consider previously effective management actions and how the approaches work alongside management being undertaken by others in the region, including DBCA.</p> <p>17. Describe and evaluate the performance of existing rehabilitation activities for terrestrial fauna, with a particular focus on significant/restricted fauna, and recommend future rehabilitation approaches to improve outcomes. Discuss and assess closure activities and outcomes.</p> <p>18. Predict the residual impacts from the proposal on terrestrial fauna after considering and applying the mitigation hierarchy and propose offsets for significant residual impacts.</p> <p>19. Evaluate the success of existing approved offsets under MS 971 to counterbalance significant residual impacts to terrestrial fauna.</p>

Inland Waters	
Required work	<p>20. Provide a detailed description of the proposal aspects that have the potential to impact inland waters.</p> <p>21. Describe the water balance for the proposal including sources, quantities and discharges.</p> <p>22. Characterise the hydrological and hydrogeological conditions (regimes and quality) for the development envelope and associated catchments including baseline (i.e. pre-mining), current conditions and trends observed. Baseline data for RDA2 should be sufficient to support future monitoring of changes attributable to the proposal.</p> <p>23. Identify the local and regional surface water and groundwater environmental values, including surface water and groundwater users/beneficial uses, and model and assess the potential impacts (direct and indirect) to these values/users from implementation of the proposal. This includes from the combined effects from MS 971 and cumulative impacts from other operations in the region including those by South32 and Alcoa. Clarify whether impacts are historic/existing and new/altered and the estimated magnitude (duration and intensity) of impact.</p> <p>24. Describe and evaluate the performance of existing management actions to mitigate against impacts to inland waters and describe proposed changes to/additional actions to improve outcomes, including justification.</p> <p>25. Identify and describe existing and proposed surface water and groundwater monitoring regimes, including performance indicators and targets, and how these are/will be effective in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) detecting and confirming impacts to surface water and groundwater environmental values and users, and b) informing actions to avoid, minimise and counterbalance impacts. <p>26. Predict the residual impacts from the proposal on inland waters after considering and applying the mitigation hierarchy. This should include discussion and assessment of combined effects with MS 971 and of closure activities and outcomes.</p>
Social Surroundings	
Required work	<p>27. Characterise and describe the social, cultural, amenity and heritage values of the development envelope and surrounds that may be directly or indirectly impacted as a result of the proposal.</p> <p>28. Characterise the areas and objects that are of particular significance to traditional owners (TOs) that may be impacted by the proposal, and detail the process used to engage with relevant TOs and how they have been consulted with respect to impacts. Clarify which potential impacts will not be subject to regulation under the <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972</i>, and outline and assess proposed outcomes.</p> <p>29. Characterise the natural landscape features and scenic quality values and assess impacts of the proposal from viewpoints and travel routes including during operations and at closure.</p>

	<p>30. Undertake a noise impact assessment that considers the significance of the cumulative changes to ambient noise levels and demonstrate whether noise can be managed such that it complies with the <i>Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997</i> at sensitive receptors. This should also include assessment of noise impacts to amenity of the Bibbulmun track and any mitigation proposed.</p> <p>31. Undertake a dust and air quality impact assessment to assess the proposal's impacts to amenity from construction and operations and to identify measures that can be taken to avoid and minimise impacts.</p> <p>32. Describe and evaluate the performance of existing management actions to date to mitigate against impacts to social, cultural, amenity and heritage values.</p>
<p>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</p>	
<p>Required work</p>	<p>33. Estimate scope 1, 2 and 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂-e) from combined operations (i.e. from both the proposal and existing operations approved under MS 971). Scope 1 estimates must include emissions associated with clearing including estimates of progressive sequestration through progressive rehabilitation.</p> <p>34. Identify how scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG emissions are proposed to be avoided or reduced. Provide a clear pathway for reducing scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions (annual and total) over the life of the proposal.</p> <p>35. Discuss how best practice measures have been adopted to avoid or reduce GHG emissions at commencement and throughout the life of the proposal through regular reviews.</p> <p>36. Describe the intended GHG emissions offsets to offset residual emissions that cannot be avoided or reduced.</p> <p>37. Provide an independent third-party expert peer review regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) GHG emission calculations, assumptions and methodology b) whether best practice emission reduction approaches have been adopted c) how the GHG emissions intensity compares to other similar operations (i.e. industry benchmarking) d) whether offsets are likely to be credible and available <p>38. Discuss how the scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG emissions are consistent with a global low-carbon transition to a net-zero by 2050 scenario.</p>
<p>Other factor – Subterranean Fauna</p>	
<p>Required work</p>	<p>39. Undertake a desktop study and habitat assessment to review latest information and determine whether baseline surveys or further investigations are required for stygofauna. This should include and describe consideration of the potential significance of any likely stygofauna assemblage and the scale and nature of the proposed impacts to determine whether subterranean fauna should be considered as a key environmental factor.</p> <p>40. Based on the findings from item 39 undertake baseline surveys and/or</p>

	<p>further investigations if required and predict the residual impacts from the proposal on subterranean fauna after considering and applying the mitigation hierarchy. This includes from the combined effects from MS 971 and cumulative impacts from other operations in the region (e.g. South32 and Alcoa).</p>
--	--

2.3 Cumulative impact assessment

The ERD will include a cumulative impact assessment (CIA) to assess the proposal’s contribution to impacts on relevant environmental values. It will describe, quantify and discuss the direct and indirect cumulative impacts to environmental values and objectives. The CIA will consider successive, incremental and interactive impacts of the proposal on the environment, with past, present and reasonably foreseeable future activities in the Northern Jarrah Forest Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia (IBRA) subregion.

The site where the proposal is located adjoins/overlaps mining being undertaken by South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd. It is also in proximity to mining being undertaken by Alcoa of Australia Limited. The CIA will take into account the existing and proposed disturbance by Alcoa and South32 as well as any other reasonably foreseeable mining operations in the Northern Jarrah Forest such as Chalice Mining and Western Yilgarn. It will also take into account other development in the region including bushfire and forest management activities outlined in the Forest Management Plan 2024-2033, agricultural operations and water supply operations.

Activities for consideration in CIA:

- Clearing of native vegetation and threatened and priority flora and fauna habitat, including fragmentation of habitat.
- Spread of weeds, pests and diseases, changes in fire regimes and impacts of climate change.
- Alteration of existing hydrological and hydrogeological regimes and analysis of catchment requirements for maintenance of water quality by natural processes.
- Reduced amenity due to noise, dust and visual impacts, loss of access to areas for recreational or cultural purposes and loss of/impacts to Aboriginal sites and values.
- Emissions of greenhouse gas emissions from activities in the region including associated refineries and from clearing of native vegetation.

2.4 Holistic impact assessment

Provide a summary of the environmental effect of the proposal on the environment as a whole (as distinct from a summary of the effect for each individual environmental factor or environmental value) consistent with the requirements of the [Instructions and Template: How to prepare an Environmental Review Document](#).

2.5 Offsets

Provide details of existing offsets under MS 971 including their status.

Details of additional proposed offsets must be provided in accordance with the [Instructions and Template: How to prepare an Environmental Review Document](#) taking into account the EPA’s *Public Advice – Considering environmental offsets at a regional scale (March 2024)* and any subsequent new policies and guidance.

2.6 Significant amendment requirements

As the proposal is a significant amendment to MS 971, the ERD will also include the following required information:

- Details of the existing approved operations including status.
- Consideration of whether the existing implementation conditions are adequate to ensure the proposal's ongoing elements are consistent with the EPA's environmental objectives.
- Whether the proponent considers existing conditions should be inquired into or proposes amendments.
- Consideration of whether outcome conditions and associated monitoring can replace existing management plan conditions.
- Where existing management plan conditions are proposed to continue, include updated plans to address combined impacts and to ensure the amended proposal meets current EPA objectives. Amended plans should be consistent with [Instructions and template: How to prepare Part IV Environmental Management Plans](#).

3. Decision-making authorities

The State decision-making authorities (DMAs) listed in Table 4 have been identified for the proposal. Additional DMAs may be identified during the assessment. Information about how DMAs processes can meet expected outcomes and EPA objectives is preliminary or may be unknown at this stage. Completion of the information in Table 4 and Table 5 will be provided in the ERD on a per impact basis.

Table 4: Decision making authorities and processes.

Decision-making authority	Legislation or Agreement regulating the activity	Approval required (and specify which proposal element the approval is related to)
Minister for Aboriginal Affairs	<i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972</i>	S. 18 consent to impact a registered Aboriginal heritage site
Minister for Water	<i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914</i>	S. 17 permit to interfere with beds and banks S. 5C licence to take water
Minister for the Environment	<i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016</i>	S. 40 authority to take or disturb threatened species
Minister for Mines and Petroleum	<i>Mining Act 1978</i>	Granting of a mining lease/exploration licence/general purpose lease/retention licence
Minister for State Development	<i>Alumina Refinery (Worsley) Agreement Act 1973</i>	Clause 18(3) consultation on applications for a mining tenement in respect of an area subject to M 258SA
Minister for Transport	<i>Main Roads Act 1930</i>	S. 18D approval for Commissioner to construct roads
Registrar of Aboriginal Sites, Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage	<i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972</i>	S. 16 authorisation for excavation purposes Regulation 7 approval to bring plant and equipment to an Aboriginal site Regulation 10 approval for minor activities and impacts to an Aboriginal site
Chief Executive Officer, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation	<i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i>	Part V works approval and licence
Chief Executive Officer, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions	<i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016</i> <i>Conservation and Land Management Act 1984</i>	Authority to take flora and fauna (other than threatened species) permit/lease/licence in respect of State forests

Executive Director Resource and Environmental Compliance, Department of Mines, Petroleum and Exploration	<i>Mining Act 1978</i>	- Mining Development and Closure Proposal - Mine Closure Plan
Mining Registrar, Department of Mines, Petroleum and Exploration	<i>Mining Act 1978</i>	Miscellaneous license
Chief Dangerous Goods Officer, Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety	<i>Dangerous Goods Safety Act 2004</i>	storage and handling of dangerous goods
Worksafe Commissioner, Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety	<i>Work Health and Safety Act 2020</i> <i>Work Health and Safety (Mines) Regulations 2022</i>	mine safety
Commissioner of Main Roads Department of Transport and Major Infrastructure	<i>Main Roads Act 1930</i>	approval for development within road reserves
Chief Executive Officer, Shire of Boddington	<i>Health Act 1911 and Health (Treatment of Sewage and Disposal of Effluent and Liquid Waste) Regulations 1974</i> <i>Building Act 2011</i> <i>Planning and Development Act 2005</i> <i>Local Government Act 1995</i>	treatment of sewage for a single dwelling or any other building that produces less than 540 litres of sewage per day building permit (offices) development approval extractive industry licence

Table 5: Other statutory decision-making process which can mitigate potential impacts on the environment.

Environmental impact	How is the impact regulated by other decision-making processes?	Limit(s) of the decision-making process(es) to regulate the impact e.g. time limits, excluded operations	Likely environmental outcome of decision-making process(es), and consistency with EPA objective	Conditions, enforcement, and review process required by decision-making process(es)	Stakeholder engagement in decision-making process(es)
Proponent to populate and provide in ERD					

Appendix 1 – Policy and Guidance

All factors

- *Statement of Environmental Principles, Factors and Objectives (EPA, 2023)*

Flora and Vegetation

EPA policy and guidance

- *Environmental factor guideline – Flora and vegetation (EPA, 2016)*
- *Technical guidance: Flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment (EPA, 2016)*
- *Guidance Statement No. 6 – Rehabilitation of Terrestrial Ecosystems (EPA, 2006)*
- *Public Advice: Considering environmental offsets at a regional scale (EPA, 2024)*

Other policy and guidance

- *Relevant recovery plans, conservation advices and/or threat abatement plans for conservation significant species that are known to occur, or are likely to occur in the vicinity of the proposal area.*
- *WA Environmental Offsets Policy, Government of Western Australia (2011)*
- *WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines, Government of Western Australia (2014)*

Terrestrial Fauna

EPA policy and guidance

- *Environmental factor guideline – Terrestrial Fauna (EPA, 2016)*
- *Technical Guidance: Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment (EPA, 2020)*
- *Technical Guidance: Sampling of short-range endemic invertebrate fauna (EPA, 2016)*
- *Guidance Statement No. 6 – Rehabilitation of Terrestrial Ecosystems (EPA, 2006)*
- *Public Advice: Considering environmental offsets at a regional scale (EPA, 2024)*

Other policy and guidance

- *Relevant recovery plans, conservation advices and/or threat abatement plans for conservation significant species that are known to occur, or are likely to occur in the vicinity of the proposal area.*
- *Survey guidelines for Australia’s threatened birds, Commonwealth Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (2010)*
- *Survey guidelines for Australia’s threatened mammals, Commonwealth Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2011)*
- *WA Environmental Offsets Policy, Government of Western Australia (2011)*
- *WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines, Government of Western Australia (2014)*

Inland Waters

EPA policy and guidance

- *Environmental factor guideline – Inland Waters (EPA, 2018)*

Other policy and guidance

- *Western Australian water in mining guideline (DWER, 2013)*
- *DWER Water Quality Protection Notes:*
 - *10 – Contaminant spills – emergency response plan (2020)*
 - *13 – Dewatering of soils at construction sites (2013)*
 - *15 – Basic raw materials extraction (2019)*
 - *36 – Protecting public drinking water source areas (2009)*
 - *52 – Stormwater management at industrial sites (2010)*
 - *65 – Toxic and hazardous substances (2015)*
 - *84 – Rehabilitation of disturbed land in public drinking water source areas (2009)*
- [*Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality \(ANZG, 2018\)*](#)
- [*Guide to future climate projections for water management in Western Australia \(DWER, 2024\)*](#)
- [*A structured framework to interpret hydro-climatic and water quality trends in Mediterranean climate zones \(Alilou, H., Oldham, C., McFarlane, D., Hipsey, M.R., 2022\)*](#)
- [*Runoff and groundwater responses to climate change in South West Australia \(McFarlane, D.J., George, R. J., Ruprecht, J., Charles, S., Hodgson, G., 2020\)*](#)

Social Surroundings

EPA policy and guidance

- *Environmental factor guideline – Social Surroundings (EPA, 2023)*
- *Technical Guidance – Environmental impact assessment of Social Surroundings – Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (EPA, 2023)*

Other policy and guidance

- *Aboriginal Heritage Due Diligence Guidelines, Department of Aboriginal Affairs and Department of Premier and Cabinet (2013)*
- *Draft Guideline - Assessment of environmental noise emissions (DWER, 2021)*
- *Draft Guideline – Air emissions (DWER, 2019)*
- *Visual Landscape Planning in Western Australia - a manual for evaluation, assessment, siting and design, Western Australian Planning Commission (2007)*

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

EPA policy and guidance

- *Environmental factor guideline – Greenhouse Gas Emissions (EPA, 2024)*

Other policy and guidance

- *Greenhouse Gas Emissions Policy for Major Projects, the Government of Western Australia (2024)*
- *National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting (Safeguard Mechanism) Rule 2015, Australian Government (as amended 2024)*