

## Public record pursuant to s39(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

Proposal Title: Woodie Continued Operations Project

**Proposal Location:** The proposal is located approximately 100 km east of Marble Bar and Nullagine and 400 km south east of Port Hedland in the Pilbara region of Western Australia.

Case Number: CMS 17763

Date referral received:20-01-2020Date	ate more information received: 09-04-2020
---------------------------------------	---

Referrer: Consolidated Minerals Pty Ltd Proponent: Consolidated Minerals Pty Ltd

**Potential significant effects:** There are potential impacts on: Flora and Vegetation from the clearing of an additional 2,340 ha of native vegetation (in addition to 2,670 ha approved under a clearing permit), Terrestrial Fauna including conservation significant fauna from clearing of habitat and disturbance to an additional 2,340 ha (in addition to 2,670 ha approved under a clearing permit), Inland Waters from groundwater abstraction and discharge, Subterranean Fauna from dewatering and loss of habitat, and Social Surroundings from disturbance to areas of cultural significance.

**Preliminary key environmental factors:** Flora and Vegetation, Subterranean Fauna, Terrestrial Fauna, Inland Waters, and Social Surroundings.

## Public comment on referral information:

Do not assess:	0
Assess: a) Referral information	0
b) Environmental review - no public review	
c) Public environmental rev	iew 3
	Total submissions: 4

## **Decision:** s. 39A – Assess

**Level of assessment:** Referral Information (with additional information required under section 40(2)(a) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*).

The additional information required, which will be published on the EPA website for a 2 week public review, is required for:

- EPA's assessment of Terrestrial Fauna
- EPA's assessment of Flora and Vegetation
- EPA's assessment of Subterranean Fauna
- EPA's assessment of Inland Waters
- EPA's assessment of Social Surroundings

**Explanation of decision:** The proposal raises several preliminary key environmental factors. The proponent has generally provided adequate referral information about the proposal, potential impacts, and proposed management measures to enable the EPA to undertake its assessment, however, additional information is required relating to Flora and Vegetation, Terrestrial Fauna, Subterranean Fauna, Inland Waters, and Social Surroundings.

**Appeals:** Decision not appealable.

**Dr Tom Hatton** CHAIRMAN Delegate of the Environmental Protection Authority