

Table of Contents

| | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | i |
|-----|----------------------------------------------|------|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 1.1 | BACKGROUND | 1-1 |
| 1.2 | PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT | 1-1 |
| | 1.2.1 Proponent Details | 1-2 |
| 1.3 | PROPOSAL DETAILS | 1-2 |
| | 1.3.1 Proposal Overview | 1-2 |
| 1.4 | ASSESSMENT APPROACH | 1-5 |
| | 1.4.1 Overview | 1-5 |
| | 1.4.2 Risk-Based Assessment | 1-5 |
| 1.5 | APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND STANDARDS | 1-8 |
| | 1.5.1 Other Approvals | 1-11 |
| 2 | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | 2-1 |
| 2.1 | STATEMENT OF HARRIET POINT DREDGING PROPOSAL | 2-1 |
| 2.2 | JUSTIFICATION AND CONTEXT OF PROPOSAL | 2-1 |
| 2.3 | ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED | 2-2 |
| 2.4 | HARRIET POINT DREDGING PROPOSAL | 2-4 |
| | 2.4.1 Overview | 2-4 |
| | 2.4.2 Capital Dredging Works | 2-5 |
| 2.5 | DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT | 2-6 |
| 2.6 | ANCILLARY REQUIREMENTS | 2-8 |
| | 2.6.1 Pipes and Pumps | 2-8 |
| | 2.6.2 Laydown Areas | 2-8 |
| | 2.6.3 Plant and Equipment | 2-9 |
| 2.7 | PROJECT SCHEDULE | 2-9 |
| 3 | STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION | 3-1 |
| 3.1 | CONSULTATION PLAN | 3-1 |
| 3.2 | SOCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT | 3-1 |
| 3.3 | KEY STAKEHOLDERS | 3-2 |
| 3.4 | COMMUNICATION AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT | 3-3 |



| 4 | EXIST | ING MARINE ENVIRONMENT | 4-1 |
|-----|-------|------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 4.1 | REGIO | DNAL SETTING | 4-1 |
| | 4.1.1 | Climate | 4-1 |
| 4.2 | PHYS | ICAL MARINE ENVIRONMENT | 4-2 |
| | 4.2.1 | Oceanography | 4-2 |
| | 4.2.2 | Water Quality | 4-6 |
| | 4.2.3 | Coastal and Seabed Morphology | 4-9 |
| | 4.2.4 | Sediment Quality | 4-11 |
| | 4.2.5 | Acid Sulphate Soils | 4-17 |
| 4.3 | BIOLC | GICAL MARINE ENVIRONMENT | 4-21 |
| | 4.3.1 | Marine Habitats | 4-21 |
| | 4.3.2 | Marine Habitats within the Project Area | 4-23 |
| | 4.3.3 | Marine Fauna | 4-32 |
| | 4.3.4 | Marine Pest Species | 4-36 |
| 4.4 | ENVIF | CONMENTAL VALUES AND ENVIROMENTAL QUALITY OBJECTIVES | 4-38 |
| 5 | EXIST | ING TERRESTRIAL ENVIRONMENT | 5-1 |
| 5.1 | EXIST | ING STUDIES | 5-1 |
| 5.2 | PHYS | ICAL | 5-1 |
| | 5.2.1 | Geology and Soils | 5-1 |
| | 5.2.2 | Topography and Landforms | 5-1 |
| | 5.2.3 | Surface Hydrology | 5-2 |
| | 5.2.4 | Hydrogeology | 5-2 |
| 5.3 | BIOLC | OGICAL | 5-3 |
| | 5.3.1 | Vegetation | 5-3 |
| | 5.3.2 | Overview of Flora | 5-4 |
| | 5.3.3 | Introduced Flora (Weeds) | 5-5 |
| | 5.3.4 | Fauna Habitat Types | 5-6 |
| | 5.3.5 | Fauna Species | 5-6 |
| 6 | REGIO | ONAL & SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT | 6-1 |
| 6.1 | REGIO | DNAL CONTEXT | 6-1 |
| 6.2 | PORT | HEDLAND OPERATIONS | 6-1 |
| | 6.2.1 | Port Hedland Port Authority | 6-1 |
| | 6.2.2 | Fortescue Metals Group | 6-1 |
| 6.3 | PORT | HEDLAND REGIONAL PLANS | 6-1 |



| REF | FERRAL DOCUMENT | onpolition |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| | 6.3.1 Town of Port Hedland Town Planning Scheme No. 5 | 6-2 |
| | 6.3.2 Port Hedland Landuse Master Plan | 6-2 |
| 6.4 | SOCIAL SETTING | 6-2 |
| | 6.4.1 Communities | 6-2 |
| | 6.4.2 Recreation and Tourism | 6-2 |
| 6.5 | INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORT | 6-2 |
| 6.6 | ECONOMIC SETTING | 6-3 |
| 6.7 | HERITAGE | 6-3 |
| | 6.7.1 Previous Surveys in the Vicinity | 6-3 |
| | 6.7.2 Heritage Surveys in the Project Area | 6-3 |
| | 6.7.3 European | 6-3 |
| 6.8 | VISUAL AMENITY AND LANDSCAPE CHARACTER | 6-4 |
| | 6.8.1 Overview | 6-4 |
| | 6.8.2 Surrounding Area | 6-4 |
| 6.9 | AIR QUALITY | 6-4 |
| 6.10 |) WATER USE | 6-5 |
| 6.11 | 1 NOISE | 6-5 |
| | | |
| 7 | IMPACT ASSESSMENT | 7-1 |
| 7.1 | RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY | 7-1 |
| 7.2 | BACKGROUND | 7-1 |
| 7.3 | KEY & OTHER FACTORS – RISK ASSESSMENT PROCESS | 7-2 |
| | | |
| 8 | KEY FACTORS IMPACTS AND MANAGEMENT | 8-1 |
| 8.1 | MARINE WATER QUALITY | 8-1 |
| | 8.1.1 Overview | 8-1 |
| | 8.1.2 EPA Objective | 8-1 |
| | 8.1.3 Policy and Standards | 8-2 |
| | 8.1.4 Sediment Plume Modelling | 8-2 |
| | 8.1.5 Potential Impacts | 8-4 |
| | 8.1.6 Management of Impacts | 8-17 |
| | 8.1.7 Outcome | 8-21 |
| 8.2 | ACID SULPHATE SOILS | 8-22 |
| | 8.2.1 Overview | 8-22 |
| | 8.2.2 EPA Objective | 8-22 |

| | 826 | Outcome | 8-23 |
|-----|----------|-------------------------------------------|------|
| | 0.2.0 | | 0-23 |
| 8.3 | MARIN | IE HABITAT (MANGROVES) | 8-25 |
| | 8.3.1 | Overview | 8-25 |
| | 8.3.2 | EPA Objective | 8-25 |
| | 8.3.3 | Policy and Standards | 8-25 |
| | 8.3.4 | Potential Impacts | 8-25 |
| | 8.3.5 | Management of Impacts | 8-27 |
| | 8.3.6 | Outcome | 8-28 |
| 8.4 | LAND USE | | 8-29 |
| | 8.4.1 | Overview | 8-29 |
| | 8.4.2 | EPA Objective | 8-29 |
| | 8.4.3 | Policy and Standards | 8-29 |
| | 8.4.4 | Potential Impacts | 8-29 |
| | 8.4.5 | Management of Impacts | 8-30 |
| | 8.4.6 | Outcome | 8-31 |
| 9 | OTHE | R RELEVANT FACTORS IMPACTS AND MANAGEMENT | 9-1 |
| ~ 1 | | | 0.4 |

| 9.1 | OTHE | R MARINE HABITAT | 9-1 |
|-----|-------|-----------------------|------|
| | 9.1.1 | Overview | 9-1 |
| | 9.1.2 | EPA Objective | 9-2 |
| | 9.1.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-2 |
| | 9.1.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-2 |
| | 9.1.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-3 |
| | 9.1.6 | Outcome | 9-3 |
| 9.2 | MARIN | NE FAUNA | 9-4 |
| | 9.2.1 | Overview | 9-4 |
| | 9.2.2 | EPA Objective | 9-4 |
| | 9.2.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-4 |
| | 9.2.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-5 |
| | 9.2.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-7 |
| | 9.2.6 | Outcome | 9-9 |
| 9.3 | MARIN | NE PEST SPECIES | 9-10 |
| | 9.3.1 | Overview | 9-10 |
| | 9.3.2 | EPA Objective | 9-10 |
| | 9.3.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-10 |
| | 9.3.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-10 |
| | 9.3.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-11 |
| | 9.3.6 | Outcome | 9-12 |



| | | | - |
|-----|-------|-------------------------|------|
| 9.4 | COAS | TAL PROCESSES | 9-13 |
| | 9.4.1 | Overview | 9-13 |
| | 9.4.2 | EPA Objective | 9-13 |
| | 9.4.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-13 |
| | 9.4.4 | Potential impacts | 9-13 |
| | 9.4.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-14 |
| | 9.4.6 | Outcome | 9-14 |
| 9.5 | TERR | ESTRIAL FLORA AND FAUNA | 9-15 |
| | 9.5.1 | Overview | 9-15 |
| | 9.5.2 | EPA Objective | 9-15 |
| | 9.5.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-15 |
| | 9.5.4 | Potential impacts | 9-15 |
| | 9.5.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-20 |
| | 9.5.6 | Outcome | 9-20 |
| 9.6 | CONS | TRUCTION NOISE | 9-21 |
| | 9.6.1 | Overview | 9-21 |
| | 9.6.2 | EPA Objective | 9-21 |
| | 9.6.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-21 |
| | 9.6.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-21 |
| | 9.6.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-22 |
| | 9.6.6 | Outcome | 9-23 |
| 9.7 | VISUA | L AMENITY | 9-23 |
| | 9.7.1 | Overview | 9-23 |
| | 9.7.2 | EPA Objective | 9-23 |
| | 9.7.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-23 |
| | 9.7.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-23 |
| | 9.7.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-26 |
| | 9.7.6 | Outcome | 9-26 |
| 9.8 | INDIG | ENOUS HERITAGE | 9-26 |
| | 9.8.1 | Overview | 9-26 |
| | 9.8.2 | EPA Objective | 9-26 |
| | 9.8.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-26 |
| | 9.8.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-27 |
| | 9.8.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-27 |
| | 9.8.6 | Outcome | 9-27 |
| 9.9 | RECR | EATION | 9-29 |
| | 9.9.1 | Overview | 9-29 |
| | 9.9.2 | EPA Objective | 9-29 |

| | 9.9.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-29 |
|------|--------|---------------------------------|------|
| | 9.9.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-29 |
| | 9.9.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-29 |
| | 9.9.6 | Outcome | 9-30 |
| 9.10 | CONS | TRUCTION DUST | 9-31 |
| | 9.10.1 | Overview | 9-31 |
| | 9.10.2 | EPA Objective | 9-31 |
| | 9.10.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-31 |
| | 9.10.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-31 |
| | 9.10.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-31 |
| | 9.10.6 | Outcome | 9-32 |
| 9.11 | WAST | E MANAGEMENT (SOLID AND LIQUID) | 9-33 |
| | 9.11.1 | Overview | 9-33 |
| | 9.11.2 | EPA Objective | 9-33 |
| | 9.11.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-33 |
| | 9.11.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-33 |
| | 9.11.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-33 |
| | 9.11.6 | Outcome | 9-34 |
| 9.12 | HYDR | OCARBONS AND HAZARDOUS WASTES | 9-34 |
| | 9.12.1 | Overview | 9-34 |
| | 9.12.2 | EPA Objective | 9-34 |
| | 9.12.3 | Policy and Standards | 9-34 |
| | 9.12.4 | Potential Impacts | 9-35 |
| | 9.12.5 | Management of Impacts | 9-35 |
| | 9.12.6 | Outcome | 9-35 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 10 | ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMITMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS | 10-1 |
|------|------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 10.1 | ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMITMENTS | 10-1 |
| 10.2 | CONCLUSIONS | 10-4 |
| | | |
| 11 | ABBREVIATIONS & REFERENCES | 11-1 |
| 11.1 | GLOSSARY, ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS | 11-1 |
| 11.2 | REFERENCES | 11-4 |

REFERRAL DOCUMENT



APPENDICES

- Appendix C Dredging Management Plan
- Appendix D Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan (Appendix A refer to CD)
- Appendix E Mangrove Management Plan
- Appendix F Land Use Management Plan

Appendices A, B and G to N - refer to CD

BHPBIO Response to Agency Comments - refer to CD



List of Tables

| Table ES.1 – | Project Key Characteristics | i |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Table ES.2 – | Environmental Factors & Inherent Risk | ii |
| Table ES.3 – | Potential Environmental Impacts | iv |
| | | |
| Table 1.1 – | Principles of Environmental Protection | 1-9 |
| Table 21 – | Project Key Characteristics | 2-1 |
| Table 2.2 – | Example of Option Assessment Environmental and Socio-economic Criteri | - · |
| Table 2.3 – | Volume of Material to be Dredged | 2-5 |
| Table 2.4 – | Maximum Bulked Volume of Material to be placed in each DMMA | 2-6 |
| Table 2.4 | Location of Port Hedland Spoil Ground 'l' | 2.0 |
| | | 2-1 |
| Table 3.1 – | Summary of Key Queries and Comments Raised During Consultation with State and Local Government Agencies, Non Government Organisations and Other Stakeholders (To date). | h 3-5 |
| Table 4.1 – | Maintenance and capital dredging of Port Hedland Harbour between 1986 and 2007 | 6 4-3 |
| Table 4.2 – | Baseline water quality monitoring in South West Creek (URS 2008) | 4-7 |
| Table 4.3 – | Summary of Turbidity (NTU) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) data from Previous Studies within Port Hedland Inner Harbour | 4-8 |
| Table 4.4 – | Concentrations of Metals Recorded in the Inner and Outer Harbour Waters of Port Hedland (SKM 2008b) | 4-9 |
| Table 4.5 – | Summary of Existing Sediment Chemistry Investigations in Port Hedland Harbour | 4-11 |
| Table 4.6 – | Summary of Diver Core Unconsolidated Sediment Chemistry Investigations in Harriet Point Dredge Footprint. | 4-13 |
| Table 4.7 – | Summary of Geotechnical Sediment Chemistry Investigations in Harriet Point Dredge Footprint. | 4-15 |
| Table 4.8 – | Elutriate Analysis for Geotechnical Sediment Samples Collected from Harriet Point | 4-16 |
| Table 4.9 – | Leachate Analyses of Geotechnical Cores using Acetic Acid Leachate and DI Water Leachate Preparation. | 4-17 |
| Table 4.10 - | Generalised Sub-Surface Profile | 4-20 |
| Table 4.11 – | Reptile Species identified in the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC Act) Protected Matters Search Tool to occur within the Port Hedland Harbour and the Route to Spoil Ground 'I' | 4-32 |
| Table 4.12 – | Marine Mammals Identified by the EPBC Act Protected Matters Search Tool to occur within the Port Hedland Harbour and the Path to Spoil Ground 'I' | 4-34 |

| Table 4.13 – | Dominant Fish Species Captured in Habitats at Sites within Dampier (Robertson & Alongi 1992) | 4-35 |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Table 4.14 – | Introduced Marine Species within Port Hedland (Huisman et al. (in press) 2008) | 4-37 |
| Table 4.15 – | Environmental Values and Environmental Quality Objectives | 4-38 |
| Table 4.16 – | Levels of Ecological Protection Linked to the EQO for 'Maintenance of Ecosystem Integrity' | 4-38 |
| Table 5.1 – | Distrubution of Land Systems Within the Potential DMMA. | 5-2 |
| Table 6.1 – | Dust and Water Use Performance Targets | 6-5 |
| Table 7.1 – | Severity Factor | 7-2 |
| Table 7.2 – | Likelihood Factor | 7-3 |
| Table 7.3 – | Residual risk rating classification | 7-3 |
| Table 8.1 – | Discharge Water Reactive Management Trigger Levels | 8-21 |
| Table 8.2 – | Summary of Potential Impacts Resulting from a Reduction in Water Quality, Associated Management Measures and the Resulting Residual Risk | 8-21 |
| Table 8.3 – | Summary of Potential Impacts from ASS for the Project and the Associated Management Measures, Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 8-24 |
| Table 8.4 – | Cumulative Loss of Mangroves, as Defined within the Port Hedland Industrial Area Management Unit | 8-26 |
| Table 8.5 – | Summary of Potential Impacts to Mangroves for the Project and the Associated Management Measure, Severity, Likelihood and Residual Ri | sk8-28 |
| Table 8.6 – | Summary of Potential Impacts Resulting from Land Use Associated with the DMMA | 8-31 |
| Table 9.1 – | Summary of Potential Impacts to Other Marine Habitat and the Associated Management Measures, Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-4 |
| Table 9.2 – | Summary of Potential Impacts to Marine Fauna and the Associated Management Measures, Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-9 |
| Table 9.3 – | Summary of Potential Impacts for Marine Pest Species and the Associated Management Measures, Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-13 |
| Table 9.4 – | Summary of Potential Impacts for Coastal Processes and the Associated Management Measures, Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-14 |
| Table 9.5 – | Summary of Potential Impacts to Terrestrial Flora and Fauna and the Associated Management Measures, Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-21 |



| Table 9.6 – | Summary of Noise Levels (LA10 in dB(A)) as a Result of Dredging and Dredge Material Management | 9-22 |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Table 9.7 – | Summary of Potential Impacts Related to Construction Noise and Assoicated Management Measures, Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-23 |
| Table 9.8 – | Summary of Potential Impacts to Visual Amenity Values and the Associated Management Measures, Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-26 |
| Table 9.9 – | Summary of Potential Impacts and Management for Indigenous Heritage, and the Associated Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-28 |
| Table 9.10 – | Summary of Potential Impacts and Management for Recreation, and the Associated Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-30 |
| Table 9.11 – | Summary of Potential Impacts and Management of Construction Dust, and the Associated Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-32 |
| Table 9.12 – | Summary of Potential Impacts and Management of Solid and Liquid Waste, and the Associated Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk | 9-34 |
| Table 9.13 – | Summary of Potential Impacts and Management of Hydrocarbons and Hazardous Materials, and the Associated Severity, Likelihood and Residual Risk. | 9-36 |
| Table 10.1 – | BHPBIO's Environmental Commitments | 10-2 |



List of Figures

| Figure 1.1 – | BHPBIO Existing Pilbara Operations | 1-3 |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Figure 1.2 – | Port Hedland Existing Operations | 1-4 |
| Figure 1.3 – | Risk-based approach to identifying key environmental factors relevant to the Harriet Point Dredging Program | 1-7 |
| Figure 1.4 – | Dredging Footprint Berth Pocket, Departure and Swing Basin | 1-12 |
| Figure 1.5 – | Proposed Harriet Point Dredging Footprint & Dredged Material Management Areas | 1-13 |
| Figure 2.1 – | Existing and Proposed Berth Developments | 2-10 |
| Figure 2.2 – | Engineering Designs of DMMA B1 | 2-11 |
| Figure 2.3 – | Engineering Designs of DMMA B2 | 2-12 |
| Figure 2.4 – | Engineering Designs of DMMA A | 2-13 |
| Figure 2.5 – | Project Pipelines and Discharge Locations | 2-14 |
| Figure 4.1 – | Seasonal Wind Roses for Port Hedland Airport for the Year 2004/05 (SKM 2008a) | 4-2 |
| Figure 4.2 – | Bathymetry of Proposed Area to be Dredged | 4-4 |
| Figure 4.3 – | Bathymetry of Spoil Ground 'I' | 4-5 |
| Figure 4.4 – | Harriet Point Sediment Sampling Sites | 4-12 |
| Figure 4.5 – | Particle size distributions with standard error bars in the top 0.5 m of seabed from sediment samples taken within the Harriet Point dredge footprint (SKM 2007b) | 4-14 |
| Figure 4.6 – | Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Mapping within the Vicinity of DMMA | 4-18 |
| Figure 4.7 – | Depth Distribution of Acid Neutralising Capacity s-ANCe | 4-19 |
| Figure 4.8 – | Measured Depth Distribution of Potential Acid Sulphate Soil | 4-21 |
| Figure 4.9 – | Marine Habitat Types for Harriet Point | 4-25 |
| Figure 4.10 – | Marine Habitat Types for DMMA A | 4-27 |
| Figure 4.11 – | Marine Habitat Types of DMMA B1 | 4-29 |
| Figure 4.12 – | Marine Habitat Types of DMMA B2 | 4-31 |
| Figure 4.13 – | Environmental Quality Objectives for Port Hedland and the Proposed RGP5 Project | 4-40 |
| Figure 5.1 – | Regional Land Systems, Soils and Topography of the Port Hedland Area | 5-9 |
| Figure 5.2 – | Hydrology and Catchments within Proposal Area | 5-10 |
| Figure 5.4 – | Terrestrial Vegetation Types at DMMA A | 5-11 |
| Figure 5.5 – | Terrestrial Vegetation Types at DMMA B2 | 5-12 |

| Figure 8.1 – | Predicted Contours of TSS Values exceeded 95% of the time at Spoil Ground 'I' Resulting from the Dumping of 250,000m3 of PASS Material | 8-6 |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Figure 8.2 – | Predicted Contours of TSS values exceeded 95% of the time at Spoil Ground 'I' Resulting from the Dumping of 1,000,000m3 of PASS Material | 8-7 |
| Figure 8.3 – | Predicted Contours of Accumulated Sediments at Spoil Ground 'l' Resulting from the Dumping of 250,000m3 of PASS Material | 8-8 |
| Figure 8.4 – | Predicted Contours of Accumulated Sediments at Spoil Ground 'l' Resulting from the Dumping of 1,000,000m3 of PASS Material | 8-9 |
| Figure 8.5 – | Predicted Contours of the TSS values Exceeded 95% of the time resulting from dredging at Harriet Point and discharge from DMMA A | 8-10 |
| Figure 8.6 – | Predicted Contours of Accumulated Sediments resulting from dredging at Harriet Point and discharge from DMMA A | 8-11 |
| Figure 8.7 – | Predicted Contours of the TSS values Exceeded 95% of the time resulting from dredging at Harriet Point and discharge from DMMA B1 | 8-12 |
| Figure 8.8 – | Predicted Contours of Accumulated Sediments resulting from dredging at Harriet Point and discharge from DMMA B1 | 8-13 |
| Figure 8.9 – | Predicted Contours of the TSS values Exceeded 95% of the time resulting from dredging at Harriet Point and discharge from DMMA B2 | 8-14 |
| Figure 8.10 – | Predicted Contours of Accumulated Sediments resulting from dredging at Harriet Point and discharge from DMMA B2 | 8-15 |
| Figure 8.11 – | Water Quality Monitoring Sites | 8-20 |
| Figure 8.12 – | Benthic Primary Producer Habitat for Harriet Point Proposed Dredging Footprint and DMMA B1 | 8-32 |
| Figure 8.13 – | Benthic Primary Producer Habitat for DMMA A | 8-33 |
| Figure 9.1 – | Terrestrial Vegetation Types at DMMA A | 9-18 |
| Figure 9.2 – | Terrestrial Vegetation Types at DMMA B2 | 9-19 |
| Figure 9.3 – | Existing View and Expected View of DMMA B1 and DMMA B2 from the Park at the North-Western End of McKay Street, Port Hedland | 9-25 |