



Environmental Protection Authority

Form for the referral of a proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Referrer information			
Who is referring this proposal?		<input type="checkbox"/> Proponent <input type="checkbox"/> Decision-making authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community member/third party	
Name (print) Dr Eddy Wajon		Signature	
Position	Chair, RVSC	Organisation	Wildflower Society of WA
Email	roadsidevegetation@wildflowersocietywa.org.au		
Address	Cnr Perry Lakes Drive and Oceanic Driver		
	Floreat	WA	6014
Date	18/11/2019		
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part of the proposal information in the referral as confidential? <i>Provide confidential information in a separate attachment.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Referral declaration for organisations, proponents and decision-making authorities: I, Johannes Edmund (Eddy) Wajon, (<i>full name</i>) declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of Wildflower Society of WA and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.			
Part A: Proponent and proposal description			
Proponent information			
Name of the proponent/s (including Trading Name if relevant)		Main Roads Western Australia	
Australian Company Number(s) <input type="checkbox"/>		50 860 767 021	
OR			
Australian Business Number(s) <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Contact for the proposal (if different from the referrer) <i>Please include: name, physical address, phone, and email.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Main Roads WA	
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

Referrer information			
Who is referring this proposal?		<input type="checkbox"/> Proponent <input type="checkbox"/> Decision-making authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community member/third party	
Name (print) Dr Eddy Wajon		Signature	
Position	Chair, RVSC	Organisation	Wildflower Society of WA
Email	roadsidevegetation@wildflowersocietywa.org.au		
<p><i>If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.</i></p> <p><i>If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?</i></p>		Land tenure agreements still remain to be completed; the work will require changing the tenure of parts of Hassell National Park into Road Reserve.	
Proposal type			
<p>What type of proposal is being referred?</p> <p>For a change to an approved proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number/s (MS No./s) of the approved proposal</p> <p>For a derived proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number (MS No.) of the associated strategic proposal</p>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> significant – new proposal <input type="checkbox"/> significant – change to approved proposal (MS No./s: _____) <input type="checkbox"/> proposal under an assessed planning scheme <input type="checkbox"/> strategic <input type="checkbox"/> derived (Strategic MS No.: _____)	
<p>For a significant proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? 		<p>The proposal will lead to clearing of 25ha of the Federally-listed TEC Proteaceae Dominated Kwongkan Shrublands of the Southeast Coastal Floristic Province of Western Australia and 0.3ha of the PEC Swamp Yate (<i>Eucalyptus occidentalis</i>) woodland in seasonally-inundated clay basins (South Coast) (P3). The area is highly biodiverse with about 500 flora species, including 14 Priority species and a number of other uncommon species. The area was declared a National Park for its flora values. The area provides habitat for the two Endangered Black Cockatoos. The proposal may have impact on drainage affecting the survival and composition of vegetation communities.</p>	
<p>For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the following details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheme name and number <p>For the Responsible Authority:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment of the planning scheme? How does the proposal not comply with the assessed 			

Referrer information			
Who is referring this proposal?		<input type="checkbox"/> Proponent <input type="checkbox"/> Decision-making authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community member/third party	
Name (print) Dr Eddy Wajon		Signature	
Position	Chair, RVSC	Organisation	Wildflower Society of WA
Email	roadsidevegetation@wildflowersocietywa.org.au		
scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme?			
Proposal description			
Title of the proposal		South Coast Highway Kojaneerup Project, 46 to 66 SLK	
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.		City of Albany & State of WA	
Location: a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or b) if remote the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.		South Coast Highway, SLK 46 to 66	
Proposal description – including the key characteristics of the proposal <i>Provide as an attachment to the form</i>		See ftp.dwer.wa.gov.au/permit/8681	
Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps and figure in the appropriate format? <i>Refer to instructions at the front of the form</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No See ftp.dwer.wa.gov.au/permit/8681	
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?		See ftp.dwer.wa.gov.au/permit/8681	
Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA at DWER Services? If so, quote the reference number and/or the DWER contact.		See ftp.dwer.wa.gov.au/permit/8681	
Part B: Environmental impacts			
Environmental factors			
What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?		<input type="checkbox"/> Benthic Communities and Habitat <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Processes <input type="checkbox"/> Marine Environmental Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Marine Fauna <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flora and Vegetation <input type="checkbox"/> Landforms <input type="checkbox"/> Subterranean Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Environmental Quality	

Referrer information			
Who is referring this proposal?		<input type="checkbox"/> Proponent <input type="checkbox"/> Decision-making authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community member/third party	
Name (print) Dr Eddy Wajon		Signature	
Position	Chair, RVSC	Organisation	Wildflower Society of WA
Email	roadsidevegetation@wildflowersocietywa.org.au		
		<input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Inland Waters <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Social Surroundings <input type="checkbox"/> Human Health	
<i>For each of the environmental factors identified above, complete the following table, or provide the information in a supplementary report</i>			
Potential environmental impacts			
1	EPA Factor	Flora and Vegetation	
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?	Environmental Factor Guideline: Flora and Vegetation	
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts	TEC to be cleared	
4	Receiving environment – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.	Excellent	
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	Clearing of a Threatened Ecological Community	
6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	Mitigation measures still result in clearing of TEC vegetation	
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	Residual impact of clearing 25 ha of TEC vegetation	
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	Any reduction in extent of a TEC is significant (stated in Matters of Environmental Significance Guidelines)	

Part C: Other approvals and regulation			
State and Local Government approvals			
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No National Park will need to be transferred into Road Reserve	
If this proposal has been referred by a decision-making authority, what approval(s) are required from you?		NA	
Please identify other approvals required for the proposal:			
Proposal activities e.g. clearing, dewatering, mining, processing, dredging	Land tenure/access e.g. Crown land, Mining lease, specify legislation for access if relevant	Type of approval e.g. Native Vegetation Clearing Permit, licence, mining proposal,	Legislation regulating the activity e.g. EP Act 1986 – Part V, RiWI Act 1914, Mining Act 1979
Vegetation Clearing, drainage management, fauna relocation	Road Reserve; National Park; City of Albany	Clearing Permit	EP Act 1986, EPBC Act 1999
Commonwealth Government approvals			
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Date: _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EPBC No.: _____	
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decision – controlled action <input type="checkbox"/> Decision – not a controlled action	
If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under the bilateral agreement or as an accredited assessment?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Bilateral <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes - Accredited	
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? <i>If yes, describe.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Approval:	